

The Complex of Solutions of the Nested Bethe Ansatz. The A_2 Spin Chain.

G.P. Pronko

*Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino,
Moscow reg., 142284, Russia.*

International Solvay Institute, Brussels, Belgium

Yu.G. Stroganov

*Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino,
Moscow reg., 142284, Russia.*

Abstract

The full set of polynomial solutions of the nested Bethe Ansatz is constructed for the case of A_2 rational spin chain. The structure and properties of these associated solutions are more various then in the case of usual XXX (A_1) spin chain but their role is similar.

1. Introduction

In our previous paper [1] we have considered the famous Baxter $T - Q$ equations [5] for the simplest cases of XXX and XXZ spin chains. In particular, we have shown that for each solution of Bethe equations there exists the associated solution with the same eigenvalue of the transfer matrix $T(\lambda)$. This solution does not define any Bethe state, however its consideration is proven to be very usefull.

The matter is that the associated polynomials $Q(\lambda)$ and $P(\lambda)$ form the full set of solutions of $T - Q$ equation.

$$T(\lambda)Q(\lambda) = (\lambda - i/2)^N Q(\lambda + i) + (\lambda + i/2)^N Q(\lambda - i), \quad (1)$$

which may be considered as the second order finite difference equation with respect to $Q(\lambda)$. Similar to the case of the second order differential equations we can express the coefficients of (1) via its solutions Q, P :

$$P(\lambda + i/2)Q(\lambda - i/2) - P(\lambda - i/2)Q(\lambda + i/2) = \lambda^N, \quad (2)$$

$$T(\lambda) = P(\lambda + i)Q(\lambda - i) - P(\lambda - i)Q(\lambda + i). \quad (3)$$

It is remarkable that the equation (2) may serve as the starting point for construction of the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix T . The set of polynomial solutions of this equation reproduces the spectrum $T(\lambda)$ via (3).

The considered construction corresponds to the case when the fundamental set of quantum operators belongs to the algebra A_1 (and its deformations). In the present paper we start the generalization of our approach to the case of algebras A_n . For the sake of simplicity we limit ourselves with A_2 case. Here we consider only the case of isotropic A_2 spin chain leaving the deformed case for future publications. We shall show that each solution of the nested Bethe ansatz equations is associated to five additional solutions corresponding to the same eigenvalue of transfer matrix. Also it will be shown that the third order finite difference equation, which is an analogue of Baxter equation for the case A_2 has the full set of polynomial solutions Q, P, R . The corresponding "wronskian" has the following form:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} Q(\lambda - i) & Q(\lambda) & Q(\lambda + i) \\ P(\lambda - i) & P(\lambda) & P(\lambda + i) \\ R(\lambda - i) & R(\lambda) & R(\lambda + i) \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^N. \quad (4)$$

The polynomial solutions of this equation form the full spectrum of A_2 transfer matrices. For example, the eigenvalues of transfer matrices, corresponding to two fundamental representations are given by:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} Q(\lambda - 3i/2) & Q(\lambda \pm i/2) & Q(\lambda + 3i/2) \\ P(\lambda - 3i/2) & P(\lambda \pm i/2) & P(\lambda + 3i/2) \\ R(\lambda - 3i/2) & R(\lambda \pm i/2) & R(\lambda + 3i/2) \end{vmatrix} = T^\pm(\lambda). \quad (5)$$

Apparently these equations substitute the equations (2) and (3) for the case of A_2 .

2. Various formulations of nested Bethe Ansatz

The exact formulation of the model one can find e.g. in [2].

Diagonalization of transfer matrix and corresponding Hamiltonian has been done with the help of so called nested Bethe Ansatz [3], which could be constructed in the frameworks of QISM (see e.g. [4]).

Let us remind the general setup of the nested Bethe Ansatz equations for the case A_2 spin chain. Let N be the length of the chain and n_1 and n_2 - are nonnegative integers subject to the conditions:

$$n_1 \leq N/3, \quad n_2 \leq 2N/3, \quad 2n_1 \leq n_2. \quad (6)$$

The corresponding Bethe state is defined by $n_1 + n_2$ parameters which we denote as:

$$\lambda_j^{(1)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1), \quad \lambda_k^{(2)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n_2). \quad (7)$$

The equations for $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_k^{(2)}$ have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j'=1}^{n_1} \frac{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_{j'}^{(1)} + i}{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_{j'}^{(1)} - i} \times \prod_{k'=1}^{n_2} \frac{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_{k'}^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_j^{(1)} - \lambda_{k'}^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2}} &= -1, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1) \\ \prod_{j'=1}^{n_1} \frac{\lambda_k^{(2)} - \lambda_{j'}^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_k^{(2)} - \lambda_{j'}^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2}} \times \prod_{k'=1}^{n_2} \frac{\lambda_k^{(2)} - \lambda_{k'}^{(2)} + i}{\lambda_k^{(2)} - \lambda_{k'}^{(2)} - i} &= -\left(\frac{\lambda_k^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2}}{\lambda_k^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2}}\right)^N, \quad (8) \\ &(k = 1, 2, \dots, n_2) \end{aligned}$$

Let us define the pair of polynomials $Q_1(\lambda)$ and $Q_2(\lambda)$ of the degrees n_1 and n_2 correspondingly by the equations:

$$Q_1(\lambda) = \prod_{j'=1}^{n_1} (\lambda - \lambda_{j'}^{(1)}), \quad Q_2(\lambda) = \prod_{k'=1}^{n_2} (\lambda - \lambda_{k'}^{(2)}). \quad (9)$$

Making use of these polynomials we can present the equation (8) in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\lambda_j^{(1)} + i)Q_2(\lambda_j^{(1)} - \frac{i}{2}) + Q_1(\lambda_j^{(1)} - i)Q_2(\lambda_j^{(1)} + \frac{i}{2}) &= 0, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n_1); \\ (\lambda_k^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda_k^{(2)} + \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda_k^{(2)} - i) + \\ + (\lambda_k^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda_k^{(2)} - \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda_k^{(2)} + i) &= 0. \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n_2). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If all roots of the polynomials $Q_1(\lambda)$ and $Q_2(\lambda)$ are simple, the equations (10) implies:

$$Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda - i) + Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda + i) = T_2(\lambda)Q_1(\lambda), \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda - i) + (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda + i) = \\ &= T_1(\lambda)Q_2(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $T_1(\lambda)$ and $T_2(\lambda)$ are new polynomials whose meaning will be clear in the sequel.

The eigenvalues of transfer matrix $T(\lambda)$ enter into the game via the following construction. Shifting the argument in the equation (11) by $\pm \frac{i}{2}$ and combining the result with the equation (12), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{T_1(\lambda) + (\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda \mp \frac{3i}{2})\}Q_2(\lambda) = \\ &\{(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})^N T_2(\lambda \mp \frac{i}{2}) + (\lambda \mp \frac{i}{2})^N Q_2(\lambda \pm i)\}Q_1(\lambda \mp \frac{i}{2}), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Suppose now that $Q_2(\lambda)$ and $Q_1(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})$ are mutually simple (have no common roots). Then the last equation implies the following important formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} &T_1(\lambda) + (\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda \mp \frac{3i}{2}) = T^\pm(\lambda)Q_1(\lambda \mp \frac{i}{2}), \\ &(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})^N T_2(\lambda \mp \frac{i}{2}) + (\lambda \mp \frac{i}{2})^N Q_2(\lambda \pm i) = T^\pm(\lambda)Q_2(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $T^\pm(\lambda)$ are the polynomials of the degree N , which are the eigenvalues of the transfer matrices corresponding to the ajoint fundamental representations of A_2 the auxiliary space.

Combining four equations of the system (14) we can find two relations where the polynomials $T_1(\lambda)$ and $T_2(\lambda)$ do not enter:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) - T^+(\lambda)Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) + \\ &+ T^-(\lambda)Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) - (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^N(\lambda+i)^N Q_2(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) - (\lambda+i)^N T^-(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) + \\ & + (\lambda-i)^N T^+(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) - \lambda^N(\lambda-i)^N Q_2(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

These equations, which we shall meet once more later, we can solve with respect to $T^\pm(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & T^\pm(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2}) Q_1(\lambda) Q_2(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2}) = \lambda^N Q_1(\lambda) Q_2(\lambda \pm \frac{3i}{2}) + \\ & + (\lambda \pm i)^N \{Q_1(\lambda+i) Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) + Q_1(\lambda-i) Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In contradistinction with (11,12) these equations are homogenous with respect to Q_1 and Q_2 and do not contain auxiliary polynomials T_1 and T_2 . In the same time, (17) are equivalent to (11, 12). To prove this statement it is sufficient to note that r.h.s. of (17) should divide on to $Q_1(\lambda)$, and $Q_2(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})$. The equations (11, 12) are the manifestations of these requirements.

2. Associated solutions of the "nested" Bethe ansatz

Let us return to the system:

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) Q_1(\lambda - i) + Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) Q_1(\lambda + i) = T_2(\lambda) Q_1(\lambda), \quad (18) \\ & (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda - i) + (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda + i) = \\ & = T_1(\lambda) Q_2(\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In what follows we shall consider several systems of this kind, so let us introduce a special short notation for it:

$$\{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\}. \quad (20)$$

Each of these two equations can be viewed as a kind of $T-Q$ Baxter equation [5] for some inhomogenous XXX - spin chain. For example, equation (18) may be considered as $T-Q$ for the chain of the length n_2 with inhomogenities defined by the roots of the polynomial $Q_2(\lambda)$. According to the results of our previous paper [1] there exists the polynomial $P_1(\lambda)$ of the degree $n_2 - n_1 + 1$, which together with $Q(\lambda)$ satisfies to:

$$Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) P_1(\lambda + i) + Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) P_1(\lambda - i) = T_2(\lambda) P_1(\lambda). \quad (21)$$

In the same time, the functions Q_2 and T_2 which play the role of coefficients in the equation (18) may be expressed in terms of two independent solutions: Q_1 and P_1 :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(\lambda) &= P_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) - P_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}), \\ T_2(\lambda) &= P_1(\lambda + i)Q_1(\lambda - i) - P_1(\lambda - i)Q_1(\lambda + i). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The second equation (19) also may be considered as $T - Q$ equation but for spin chain of the length $N + n_1$. Now the polynomial $\lambda^N Q_1(\lambda)$ serves as inhomogeneity. Again, according to ([1]) the second solution $P_2(\lambda)$ is the polynomial of the degree $N + n_1 - n_2 + 1$:

$$(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})P_2(\lambda + i) + (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})P_2(\lambda - i) = T_1(\lambda)P_2(\lambda). \quad (23)$$

The construction similar to (22) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^N Q_1(\lambda) &= P_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) - P_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}), \\ T_1(\lambda) &= P_2(\lambda + i)Q_2(\lambda - i) - P_2(\lambda - i)Q_2(\lambda + i). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Let us compare the first equations from the systems (22) and (24):

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2(\lambda) &= P_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) - P_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}), \\ \lambda^N Q_1(\lambda) &= P_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) - P_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Excluding Q_2 from this system we obtain the factorized equation:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\lambda) \{ \lambda^N + P_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})P_1(\lambda + i) + P_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})P_1(\lambda - i) \} &= \\ = P_1(\lambda) \{ P_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda + i) + P_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda - i) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Let $Q_1(\lambda)$ and $P_1(\lambda)$ are mutually simple (this is equivalent to the mutual simplicity of $Q_2(\lambda)$ and $Q_1(\lambda \pm \frac{i}{2})$). Then there exists the polynomial $\tilde{T}_2(\lambda)$, satisfying to:

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda - i) + P_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})Q_1(\lambda + i) &= \tilde{T}_2(\lambda)Q_1(\lambda), \\ P_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2})P_1(\lambda - i) + P_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})P_1(\lambda + i) + \lambda^N &= \tilde{T}_2(\lambda)P_1(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Remarkable that equation (23) and the first equation in (27) form the new pair of equations for the nested Bethe ansatz, which in our notations could be written as: $\{Q_1, P_2; T_1, \tilde{T}_2\}$. Note that according to the first equation of the system (14), this pair corresponds to the same eigenvalues of transfer matrices $T^\pm(\lambda)$, as in the case of $\{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\}$

On the next step, starting again from the system (25) we shall exclude the polynomial Q_1 . Repeating the above procedure we arrive at the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N P_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda - i) + (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N P_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda + i) = \\ & = \tilde{T}_1(\lambda) Q_2(\lambda), \\ & (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N P_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) P_2(\lambda - i) + (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N P_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) P_2(\lambda + i) + \\ & + (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N = \tilde{T}_1(\lambda) P_2(\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

In other words this results in the system $\{P_1, Q_2; \tilde{T}_1, T_2\}$.

All discussion of this section may formulated as the following

Proposition on the associated solutions of the nested Bethe ansatz equations.

Let we have the solution $\{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\}$ of the Bethe equations (18,19). Degrees of the polynomials in the brackets are $(n_1, n_2; N+n_1, n_2)$ correspondingly.

Then, there exist the pair of associated solutions $\{Q_1, P_2; T_1, \tilde{T}_2\}$ and $\{P_1, Q_2; \tilde{T}_1, T_2\}$, for which the degrees are: $(n_1, N+n_1-n_2+1; N+n_1, N+n_1-n_2+1)$ and $(n_2-n_1+1, n_2; N+n_2-n_1+1, n_2)$.

3. Complex of the solutions of the nested Bethe ansatz equations

Each of two associated solutions $\{Q_1, P_2; T_1, \tilde{T}_2\}$ and $\{P_1, Q_2; \tilde{T}_1, T_2\}$, could be considered as a result of two operations $\mathcal{F}_1$ $\mathcal{F}_2$ on the initial solution $\{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1\{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\} &= \{P_1, Q_2; \tilde{T}_1, T_2\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_2\{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\} &= \{Q_1, P_2; T_1, \tilde{T}_2\} \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

The smart reader can get an impression that there exist an infinite set of the associated solutions. However, these two operations $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ form a finite group. This, in turn, guarantees a finiteness of the number of the associated solutions.

Indeed, first of all, let us remark that two successive applications of the operation $\mathcal{F}_1$ ($\mathcal{F}_2$) return us back to the initial solution. In other words these operations are the involutions:

$$\mathcal{F}_1^2 = \mathcal{F}_2^2 = I. \quad (30)$$

The next nontrivial operations are the products: $\mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{F}_1$, $\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{F}_1$ et cetera and the set with replacement $\mathcal{F}_1 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$. The key statement is that this set is actually finite because $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$ satisfy to Artin relation:

$$\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2 \quad (31)$$

This relation results in the following structure of the whole set of nested Bethe ansatz solutions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \{Q_1, Q_2; T_1, T_2\} & \\
& \mathcal{F}_1 \swarrow & \mathcal{F}_2 \searrow \\
\{P_1, Q_2; \tilde{T}_1, T_2\} & & \{Q_1, P_2; T_1, \tilde{T}_2\} \\
& \downarrow \mathcal{F}_2 & \mathcal{F}_2 \downarrow \\
\{P_1, R_2; \tilde{T}_1, T_2'\} & & \{R_1, P_2; T_1', \tilde{T}_2\} \\
& \mathcal{F}_1 \searrow & \mathcal{F}_2 \swarrow \\
& \{R_1, R_2; T_1', T_2'\} &
\end{array} \quad (32)$$

To prove this statement, first of all, recall that $T^\pm(\lambda)$ defined in (14) are invariants under $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ operations. The matter is that each of these operations do not change one of two pairs Q_i, T_i and due to (14) it is sufficient for conservation of $T^\pm(\lambda)$.

Now let us consider equations (15,16) from the first section:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) - T^+(\lambda) Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) + \\
& + T^-(\lambda) Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) - (\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N Q_1(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) = 0,
\end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^N(\lambda+i)^N Q_2(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) - (\lambda+i)^N T^-(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) + \\ & + (\lambda-i)^N T^+(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) Q_2(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) - \lambda^N(\lambda-i)^N Q_2(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

These equations may be considered as linear homogenous finite difference equations of the third order for polynomials Q_1 and Q_2 . The invariants $T^\pm(\lambda)$ play the role of the coefficients. The equations have three lineary independent solutions: Q_1, P_1, R_1 , and Q_2, P_2, R_2 , correspondingly. If the relation (31) is not valid, i.e. the chain of solutions (32) is longer then we should obtain more then three solutions to each equations (33,34), what is impossible.

4. Concluding remarks

In our previous paper ([1]) we have considered two fundamental polynomial solutions to Baxter $T-Q$ -equation. These solutions may be considered as the fundamental objects in the integrable A_1 spin chain models. They give rise to all possible fusion relations for the transfer matrices corresponding to different spin in the auxiliary space and the transfer matrices themselves could be expressed in terms of these polynomial solutions.

For the case A_2 spin chain we expect that six polynomial solutions (32) play the same role. Indeed, let us remind that the polynomials Q_1, P_1 and R_1 are the solutions of the equation (33):

$$\begin{vmatrix} Q_1(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) & Q_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) & Q_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) & Q_1(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) \\ P_1(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) & P_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) & P_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) & P_1(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) \\ R_1(\lambda - \frac{3i}{2}) & R_1(\lambda - \frac{i}{2}) & R_1(\lambda + \frac{i}{2}) & R_1(\lambda + \frac{3i}{2}) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} (\lambda + \frac{i}{2})^N \\ -T^+(\lambda) \\ T^-(\lambda) \\ -(\lambda - \frac{i}{2})^N \end{vmatrix} = 0. \quad (35)$$

Excluding $T^\pm(\lambda)$ from this system we get the following equation:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} Q_1(\lambda - i) & Q_1(\lambda) & Q_1(\lambda + i) \\ P_1(\lambda - i) & P_1(\lambda) & P_1(\lambda + i) \\ R_1(\lambda - i) & R_1(\lambda) & R_1(\lambda + i) \end{vmatrix} = \lambda^N. \quad (36)$$

This equation is analog of the fundamental "wronskian" (16) from paper ([1]). As in the case of A_1 the (36) can be considered as the starting point

for construction of the polynomials Q_1, P_1, R_1 and consequently the transfer matrices $T^\pm(\lambda)$:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} Q_1(\lambda - 3i/2) & Q_1(\lambda \pm i/2) & Q_1(\lambda + 3i/2) \\ P_1(\lambda - 3i/2) & P_1(\lambda \pm i/2) & P_1(\lambda + 3i/2) \\ R_1(\lambda - 3i/2) & R_1(\lambda \pm i/2) & R_1(\lambda + 3i/2) \end{vmatrix} = T^\pm(\lambda). \quad (37)$$

Consider for example the case of 3-sites chain $N = 3$. The full set of polynomial solutions of the equation (36) in this case is:

Number	$Q(\lambda)$	$P(\lambda)$	$R(\lambda)$
1	1	λ	$\lambda^5 + \frac{5}{3}\lambda^3$
2	1	$\lambda^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\lambda^4 - \frac{2\lambda^3}{\sqrt{3}} - \sqrt{3}\lambda$
3	1	$\lambda^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\lambda^4 + \frac{2\lambda^3}{\sqrt{3}} + \sqrt{3}\lambda$
4	λ	$\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{3}$	λ^3

These four solutions correspond to four irreducible representations which enter into decomposition of the product of $N = 3$ fundamental representations:

$$\mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{8} + \mathbf{8} + \mathbf{10} \quad (38)$$

Note that we can express in terms of these polynomials not only $T^\pm(\lambda)$ which correspond to the fundamental representations in the auxiliary space but also transfer matrices for any other representations of A_2 .

Similar relations exist also for the polynomials Q_2, P_2, R_2 . Taking into account the first equation (22) one can establish that these relations are not independent.

In the paper ([6]) the authors also have considered the A_n case of nested Bethe ansatz equations using the analogues of $T-Q$ Baxter equations. However, in their approach the "regularization" by means of "external magnetic field" is essential and it is not known how to remove this regularization. Therefore, we at the present time unable to compare our results.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to A.V. Razumov, M.V. Saveliev, S.M. Sergeev for useful discussion.

The research was supported in part by RFFR grant 98-01-00070 and INTAS 96-690.

References

- [1] Pronko G.P., Stroganov Yu.G., "Bethe Equations "on the Wrong Side of Equator", hep-th/9808153, *J.Phys.* **A**, (in press).
- [2] Stroganov Yu.G., *Phys. Lett.* **A74** (1979) 119.
- [3] Sutherland B., *Phys. Rev.* **B112** (1975) 3795.
- [4] Kulish P.P., Reshetikhin N.Yu. *ZhETF (USSR)* **80** (1981) 214
- [5] Baxter R.J., *Studies in Applied Mathematics* **L** (1971) 51-69; *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **70** (1972) 193-228; *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **76** (1973) 1-24, 25-47, 48-71.
- [6] Krichiver, I., Lipan, O., Wiegmann, P., and Zabrodin, A., *Commun. Math. Phys.* **188**, (1997) 267.